

I'm a Greene County taxpayer and a low income senior. I object to the Board transferring GCSCSF funds to the United Way to support Give 5.

1. The City Council got out because it was a conflict of interest. So should GCSCSF. 2. 50% of city/county Give 5 money goes to salaries. The remaining majority goes to marketing.

\$30,000 to consultant to run program
\$76,000 to Mr. Burris for part time work

3. Seniors wishing to participate cannot. Is a selective process – out of 54 applicants for first class, only 25 selected

4. Give 5 competes with RSPV (Retired Senior Volunteer Program)

5. City did not follow competitive bid procedures as required by GCSCSF contract, i.e., consultant contract was not bid.

6. If United Way assumes a volunteer program it should recruit for ALL volunteers, not just 60 and over. United Way already employs a VP of Volunteer Services and a Volunteer Coordinator.

7. Give 5 has failed at times to give credit to GCSCSF on printed materials

8. Give 5 does not fulfill the stated mission of the GCSCSF

9. GCSCSF budget shows \$26,000 for buses – 5 classes per year is \$15,000 for buses. No accounting for how this money will be spent.

10. GCSCSF funds shouldn't support nationwide launch/required to support Greene County seniors only.

Mr. Burris gave presentation to Alliance for Innovation – Phoenix, AZ
The end of the outline contains information on how one can get a "community implementation kit" and an "agreement and pricing schedule." These are the target participants: (quote from presentation)

Reengineering Volunteerism

- What will you do with a retired strategic planner?
- What will you do with a retired serial entrepreneur?
- What will you do with a retired police officer?
- What will you do with a retired engineer?
- What will you do with a retired communications professional? • What will you do with a retired doctor?
- What will you do with a retired city manager?