

5- PLAN MAINTENANCE PROCESS

5 PLAN MAINTENANCE PROCESS	5.1
5.1 Monitoring, Evaluating, and Updating the Plan	5.1
5.1.1 Responsibility for Plan Maintenance.....	5.1
5.1.2 Plan Maintenance Schedule	5.1
5.1.3 Plan Maintenance Process	5.1
5.2 Incorporation into Existing Planning Mechanisms	5.2
5.3 Continued Public Involvement	5.5

This chapter provides an overview of the overall strategy for plan maintenance and outlines the method and schedule for monitoring, updating and evaluating the plan. The chapter also discusses incorporating the plan into existing planning mechanisms and how to address continued public involvement.

5.1 MONITORING, EVALUATING, AND UPDATING THE PLAN

44 CFR Requirement 201.6 (4): the plan maintenance process shall include a section describing the method and schedule of monitoring, evaluating, and updating the mitigation plan within a five-year cycle.

5.1.1 Responsibility for Plan Maintenance

Each participating jurisdiction will be responsible for updating goals and actions. The Springfield-Greene County Office of Emergency Management’s Senior Planner will request annual updates for goals and actions. The plan will be reviewed and updated annually by the individual jurisdictions and the Springfield-Greene County Office of Emergency Management. When needed, the Mitigation Planning Committee (MPC) can meet to discuss potential changes.

5.1.2 Plan Maintenance Schedule

The MPC will review the plan annually and after a state or federally declared hazard event as appropriate to monitor progress and update the mitigation strategy. In coordination with all participating jurisdictions, the Emergency Management director will be responsible for initiation a five-year written update of the plan to be submitted to the Missouri State Emergency Management Agency (SEMA) and FEMA Region VII per Requirement 201.6 (4)(i) of the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000, unless disaster or other circumstances (e.g., changing regulations) require a change to this schedule.

5.1.3 Plan Maintenance Process

Goals and Actions will be updated annually by each of the participating jurisdictions. This will be done in a live Google Documents workbook. Progress on the proposed action can be monitored by evaluating changes in vulnerabilities identified in the plan. The Mitigation Planning Committee (MPC), during the annual meeting should review changes in vulnerability identified as follows:

- Decreased vulnerability as a result of implementing recommended actions
- Increased vulnerability as a result of failed or ineffective mitigation actions
- Increased vulnerability due to hazards events
- Increased vulnerability as a result of new development (and/or annexation).

5- PLAN MAINTENANCE PROCESS

Future 5-Year updated to this plan will include the following activities:

- Consideration of changes in vulnerability due to action implementation
- Documentation of success stories where mitigation efforts have proven effective
- Documentation of unsuccessful mitigation actions and why the actions were not effective
- Documentation of previously overlooked hazard events that may have occurred since the previous plan approval
- Incorporation of new data or studies with information on hazard risks
- Incorporation of new capabilities or changes in capabilities
- Incorporation of growth data and changes to inventories
- Incorporation of ideas for new actions and changes in action prioritization

Changes will be made to the plan to remedy actions that have failed or are not considered feasible. Feasibility will be determined after a review of action consistency with established criteria, time frame, community priorities, and/or funding resources. Actions that were not ranked high but were identified as potential mitigation activities will be reviewed as well as during monitoring of this plan. Updating of the plan will be accomplished by written changes and submissions, and the MPS deems appropriate and necessary. Changes will be approved by the Springfield-Greene County Office of Emergency Management and the governing boards of the other participating jurisdictions.

5.2 INCORPORATION INTO EXISTING PLANNING MECHANISMS

44 CFR Requirement 201.6 (4)(ii): The plan shall include a process by which local governments incorporate the requirements of the mitigation plan into other planning mechanisms such as comprehensive or capital improvement plans, when appropriate

Where possible, plan participants, including schools and special districts, will use existing plans and/or programs to implement hazard mitigation actions. Based on the capability assessments of the participating jurisdictions, communities in Greene County will continue to plan and implement programs to reduce losses to life and property from hazards. This plan builds upon the momentum developed through previous and related planning efforts and mitigation programs and recommends implementing actions, where possible, through the following plans:

- General or master plans of participating jurisdictions
- Ordinances of participating jurisdictions
- Greene County Emergency Operations Plan
- Capital Improvement plans and budgets
- School and Special District Plans and budgets
- Other community plans

The MPC (or designated responsible entity) members involved in updating these existing planning mechanisms will be responsible for integrating the findings and actions of the mitigation plan, as appropriate. The MPC (or designated responsible entity) is also responsible for monitoring this integration and incorporation of the appropriate information into the five-year update of the multi-jurisdictional hazard mitigation plan.

5 - PLAN MAINTENANCE PROCESS

Planning Mechanisms Identified for Integration of the Hazard Mitigation Plan

Jurisdiction	Planning Mechanisms	Integration Process for Previous Plan	Integration Process for Current Plan
Greene County	County Emergency Operations Plan	When updating the EOP, the planning team in OEM worked with the Mitigation Plan to add and update actions into the EOP.	Planning team in OEM will identify new actions that can be added to the next EOP update.
City of Ash Grove	Comprehensive Plan	When updating the Comprehensive plan, City Officials implement development possibilities and use the Mitigation Plan as a resource.	Identify new development/projects for the next comprehensive plan.
City of Battlefield	Comprehensive Plan	When updating the Comprehensive plan, City Officials implement development possibilities and use the Mitigation Plan as a resource.	Identify new development/projects for the next comprehensive plan.
City of Fair Grove	Comprehensive Plan	When updating the Comprehensive plan, City Officials implement development possibilities and use the Mitigation Plan as a resource.	Identify new development/projects for the comprehensive plan.
City of Republic	Comprehensive Plan	When updating the Comprehensive plan, City Officials implement development possibilities and use the Mitigation Plan as a resource.	Identify new development/projects for the next comprehensive plan.
City of Springfield	Comprehensive Plan	When updating the Comprehensive plan, City Officials implement development possibilities and use the Mitigation Plan as a resource.	Identify new development/projects for the next comprehensive plan.
City of Strafford	Comprehensive Plan	When updating the Comprehensive plan, City Officials implement development possibilities and use the Mitigation Plan as a resource.	Identify new development/projects for the next comprehensive plan.
City of Walnut Grove	Comprehensive Plan	When updating the Comprehensive plan, City Officials implement development possibilities and use the Mitigation Plan as a resource.	Identify new development/projects for the next comprehensive plan.
City of Willard	Comprehensive Plan	When updating the Comprehensive plan, City Officials implement development possibilities and use the Mitigation Plan	Identify new development/projects for the next comprehensive plan.

5- PLAN MAINTENANCE PROCESS

		as a resource.	
Ash Grove Fire Protection District	Strategic Plan	When updating the Strategic, the school district used the Mitigation Plan as a resource.	Identify new development/ projects for the next comprehensive plan.
Battlefield Fire Protection District	Strategic Plan	When updating the Strategic, the school district used the Mitigation Plan as a resource.	Identify new development/ projects for the next comprehensive plan.
Ebenezer Fire Protection District	Strategic Plan	When updating the Strategic, the school district used the Mitigation Plan as a resource.	Identify new development/ projects for the next comprehensive plan.
Fair Grove Fire Protection District	Strategic Plan	When updating the Strategic, the school district used the Mitigation Plan as a resource.	Identify new development/ projects for the next comprehensive plan.
Logan-Rogersville Fire Protection District	Strategic Plan	When updating the Strategic, the school district used the Mitigation Plan as a resource.	Identify new development/ projects for the next comprehensive plan.
Strafford Fire Protection District	Strategic Plan	When updating the Strategic, the school district used the Mitigation Plan as a resource.	Identify new development/ projects for the next comprehensive plan.
Walnut Grove Fire Protection District	Strategic Plan	When updating the Strategic, the school district used the Mitigation Plan as a resource.	Identify new development/ projects for the next comprehensive plan.
Willard Fire Protection District	Strategic Plan	When updating the Strategic, the school district used the Mitigation Plan as a resource.	Identify new development/ projects for the next comprehensive plan.
Ash Grove Public School District	Comprehensive School Improvement Plan	When updating the CSIP, the school district used the Mitigation Plan as a resource.	Identify new development/ projects for the next comprehensive plan.
Fair Grove Public School District	Comprehensive School Improvement Plan	When updating the CSIP, the school district used the Mitigation Plan as a resource.	Identify new development/ projects for the next comprehensive plan.
Republic Public School District	Comprehensive School Improvement Plan	When updating the CSIP, the school district used the Mitigation Plan as a resource.	Identify new development/ projects for the next comprehensive plan.
Springfield Public School District	Strategic Plan	When updating the strategic, the school district used the Mitigation Plan as a resource.	Identify new development/ projects for the next comprehensive plan.
Strafford Public School District	Comprehensive Plan	When updating the Comprehensive Plan, the school district used the Mitigation Plan as a resource.	Identify new development/ projects for the next comprehensive plan.
Walnut Grove Public School District	Facility Master Plan	When updating the Facility Master Plan, the school district used the Mitigation	Identify new development/ projects for the next comprehensive plan.

5 - PLAN MAINTENANCE PROCESS

		Plan as a resource.	
Willard Public School District	Strategic Plan	When updating the Strategic, the school district used the Mitigation Plan as a resource.	Identify new development/projects for the next comprehensive plan.
Ozark Technical Community College	Strategic Plan	When updating the Strategic Plan, the school district used the Mitigation Plan as a resource.	Identify new development/projects for the next comprehensive plan.
Missouri State University	Strategic Plan	When updating the Strategic Plan, the school district used the Mitigation Plan as a resource.	Identify new development/projects for the next comprehensive plan.

5.3 CONTINUED PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT

44 CFR Requirement 201.6 (4)(iii) the plan maintenance process shall include a discussion on how the community will continue public participation in the plan maintenance process.

The hazard mitigation plan update process provides an opportunity for public involvement. Once a year, the public feedback form will be pushed out on social media giving the public an opportunity to share any new hazards that they may be experiencing in their area. All jurisdictions will have the opportunity to use the hazard feedback form for their individual communities as well. Springfield-Greene County Office of Emergency Management has scheduled social media posts for the next 4 years on July 1st requesting for public input. The survey will be open for one month before results are documented. When the MPC reconvenes for the five-year update, it will coordinate with all stakeholders participating in the planning process.